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Jessica R. Hoehn and Noah Finkelstein, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO

Increasingly, the physics community is attending to issues of equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI), both in language and action. We are more publicly recognizing our individual responsibilities as physicists to address social injustice and systemic oppression. To this end, our physics classrooms are key levers for action. While we have made great strides in teaching traditional content and habits of mind useful in physics, if we expand our educational practices to more completely reflect the full range of what it means to be a physicist, we can make our classrooms more inclusive and equitable and support the needed diversification of our field. Ultimately how, and by whom, physics is enacted are part of the discipline itself and ought to be included in our teaching about the professional practices of physicists. We present two complementary approaches for broadening the focus of our classes, share models for enacting these in middle-division physics courses at two distinct institutional types, and provide evidence of positive impacts on students’ sense of identity and notions of what it means to be a physicist.

The physics education community has been developing models and practices that make our classrooms more inclusive and explicitly attend to EDI issues and belonging in physics. Such moves are essential to support students and teachers, and for the discipline itself to bring about much needed systemic change. Borrowing from, and building on, the work of many others, this paper focuses on how EDI can be part of the physics habits of mind that we teach students in middle division physics courses by engaging in extended, explicit discussions and reflections about EDI. Such practices can foster a sense of identity and belonging, which, along with appropriate academic support, promotes student success.

We present two different approaches from two different environments—the Atomic and Nuclear Physics class at Carleton College and the Modern Physics for Engineers class at the University of Colorado (CU). (For more information on institutional context, see Appendix A.) These two examples showcase the breadth of opportunities as well as some common features for enacting these essential practices. At Carleton, weekly homework assignments include reflection essays on a variety of topics important to physicists. At CU, students engage in activities and discussions both in and out of class during a two-day unit on representation in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM). Common among these approaches are themes of focusing on people, building time for reflection, developing and understanding identities in physics, motivating the EDI discussions using the broader physics community as the authority on what physicists care about, and connecting these themes to traditional content of physics as a core, graded component of the course. In this paper, we describe each of our approaches, present some sample outcomes to illustrate the potential impacts for students, and discuss the factors one might consider when determining which approach suits their own local context. The course materials discussed here are available online and we provide additional details about institutional and course contexts as well additional outcomes in the online appendices.

Carleton College approach

Carleton’s EDI assignments take place in a 30-person sophomore-level course where we have observed that students’ experience in this course significantly impacts their decision to major in physics, which they are not allowed to declare until their sixth trimester. The entire course is framed by the theme “Practicing Professionalism” that asks students to consider how every assignment and activity in the course is designed to provide them the opportunity to practice the activities of professional physicists. At the core, the course is designed to address the questions, “What does it mean to do physics?” and “What does it mean to be a physicist?” Though not required for our intervention, this broader professionalism framing naturally allows space in the course to move beyond subject-matter content and skill development (e.g., experimental, computational) to thinking about the importance of EDI and other non-technical topics. The specific goals of the reflection essay assignment are for students to: (a) examine their own thoughts on what it means to be a physicist and do physics and (b) to read about and respond to EDI-related issues of interest to professional physicists. These non-technical topics are motivated by a 10-minute activity on the first day of class. Each student is given a copy of APS News, a compilation of articles that communicate information of value to the physics community. The students are given five minutes to look through the newsletter and identify an article whose content does not focus on technical physics topics (e.g., theories, apparatus). The students then briefly introduce themselves and provide the title or topic of the article they identified. As a class, students compile a list of topics physicists care about that are not related to the technical aspects of doing physics, such as: history, awards, leadership, international community, diversity, inclusion, political advocacy, access to physics education, and more. These topics provide a rich array of themes for the reflection essay assignment that are motivated by the larger community of physicists rather than a particular faculty member. This approach to motivating the essays also increases student buy-in and reduces student pushback.

In their weekly homework, students engage in a reflection essay exercise. The source material for the essays are selected
students why representation matters (if at all). Following our in-class discussions, we ask students to answer the following questions:

- What are several reasons that you have heard as to why particular groups of people do not pursue physics and why...consider the following questions:
  - When you think about what type of people do physics (e.g., characteristics of a stereotypical physicist, a mentor you look up to, the public face of physics, etc.), what comes to mind? Does that match up with your experience/observations?
  - What are several reasons that you have heard as to why particular groups of people study (and continue to study) physics and others don’t? In particular, why do so few women and minorities pursue physics? How does access to high school physics courses impact who pursues physics?
  - Do any of these reasons resonate with your experience? Are any of these reasons inconsistent with your experience?
  - Do you consider yourself the “type of person who does physics” (or whatever your potential major is if you aren’t planning to major in physics)? Explain.

During week 1, you read a statement from Sam Aronson about the importance of diversity in physics. As a result for many decades, the broader physics community has been actively working on trying to improve the representation of individuals from diverse backgrounds and perspectives in the physics community. This week, I want you to think about who does physics and why...consider the following questions:

- If you were to ask a non-physics major what physics is, many for the first time. In an online course materials, “I gained a lot of insights from the reflection essays. I really did hate them at the start of the term but I did not realize how much I have grown mentally after writing all these essays.” Sometimes the benefits of the reflection essays come much later, such as in an email from an international student in June 2020. In part she writes, “Today, scientists are practicing things differently to take actions toward eradicating racism (#ShutDownAcademia #ShutDownSTEM). There are resources for us to take concrete actions. One of them is having discussion about racism and inequality, and I really appreciate that you put assignments about systematic inequality into [the] course even though there are many materials to be covered.” This quote shows the long-term impact of placing this type of reflection assignment into a physics course. Appendix B includes exemplary student responses reflecting the impact of this assignment on students’ understanding of physicists and the physics community. Moreover, the addition of this reflection essay has not decreased the percentage of students who chose to major in physics after taking this course.

CU approach

The course at CU is a sophomore-level modern physics course that enrolls predominantly engineering majors (75–120 students per semester). Drawing on the work of Daane et al., we have incorporated a two-part in-class unit on “What is physics?” and “Who does physics?” (one 75-minute class period for each plus associated readings, homework, and exam questions). Our goals for this unit are for students to: (a) recognize and discuss the role of people in science, (b) identify the lack of diversity in STEM fields and discuss why representation matters, and (c) begin to consider questions of who does science as part of science itself. We believe a supportive and inclusive classroom culture is a necessary condition for successfully addressing these goals; for details about how we strive to foster this environment and the specifics of the implementation, see Ref. 14).

For part one, students read and discuss a chapter on the nature of science and we spend one class period discussing what it means to create, test, and interpret scientific models and theories. This culminates in a class-wide discussion on the role of people in the process of science (e.g., who creates said models?). For part two, students Google “famous physicists” and discuss patterns that they see in the resulting images. The students then practice interpreting graphs and identify the lack of representation in STEM fields using data from APS on participation in physics by race, gender, and LGBTQ identification (e.g., graphs also available in online course materials). After engaging with the data, we ask students why representation matters (if at all). Following our in-class discussions, the students read “Open Letter to SCOTUS from Professional Physicists,” and personally reflect on the value of diversity in a physics classroom.

In part one of the unit, students begin to consider the role of people in science, many for the first time. In an online course materials, “I gained a lot of insights from the reflection essays. I really did hate them at the start of the term but I did not realize how much I have grown mentally after writing all these essays.” Sometimes the benefits of the reflection essays come much later, such as in an email from an international student in June 2020. In part she writes, “Today, scientists are practicing things differently to take actions toward eradicating racism (#ShutDownAcademia #ShutDownSTEM). There are resources for us to take concrete actions. One of them is having discussion about racism and inequality, and I really appreciate that you put assignments about systematic inequality into [the] course even though there are many materials to be covered.” This quote shows the long-term impact of placing this type of reflection assignment into a physics course. Appendix B includes exemplary student responses reflecting the impact of this assignment on students’ understanding of physicists and the physics community. Moreover, the addition of this reflection essay has not decreased the percentage of students who chose to major in physics after taking this course.
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discussion about a reading assignment, one student commented, "As students, we were always given equations and diagrams as laws. This [reading] made me think of science as something more approachable than I have always presumed." Throughout the semester, students continue to identify and emphasize the roles of people, collaboration, and community consensus, even when not prompted to do so. For example, in discussing a Scientific American article about the first 100 years of quantum mechanics, one student remarked, "Science to me is not a competition with right and wrong answers, but is a collaborative effort in which viable explanations are sought after to add to the overall knowledge of the world. The hydrogen disaster is a fine example of scientists building on top of other scientists' work." We also see the students connecting these ideas to their own learning in the course. After the reading and class discussions around the role of people in science, one student wrote about the collaborative nature of science, saying, "It made me realize that working together really is better, and that I shouldn’t be afraid to share my ideas, because even if they’re wrong, they may help lead me and everyone else to the correct conclusion." In bringing attention to the human elements of science, we create a course where students are empowered to reflect on and construct their own opportunities for learning.

In part two, we see students engage deeply with the question “Why does representation in physics/STEM matter?” During class, students write their own answers to this question on notecards that get distributed anonymously to peers in order to facilitate a class-wide discussion. Common themes in students’ responses (across two semesters of this activity) include: leads to diverse ideas and perspectives, diversity leads to better science, sense of belonging, opportunities for individuals, equity, distribution of power/influence, recognition, dismantle prejudice, and access to education/scientific knowledge. Appendix B includes exemplar student responses around each of these themes. Through this activity, students challenge and respond to one another in a productive dialogue, and engage in reflection to identify a variety of important topics.

We first assess the impact of this unit by attending to students’ social and emotional reactions. Future work may include additional measures to evaluate whether students are meeting the learning goals of the unit. Students’ general reactions to the data interpretation activity and in-class discussion about representation have been largely positive; over two semesters, 75–80% of responses to a weekly feedback survey were positive, 15–20% neutral, and 0–5% negative. Much like at Carleton, our students often express surprise and appreciation for covering these topics in class. The few negative reactions that we have received have primarily included the idea that these topics are important, but they just do not belong in a physics class. We see these responses as being potentially productive and raising awareness for students that these discussions are part of professional physics practice. Further, this unit may be particularly impactful for students from groups that have been historically marginalized or excluded from STEM. In an optional final paper, one student, who self-identified as a woman and member of a racial/ethnic minority group said of the class session on representation, “This class opened my eyes. It may have been one of the most influential classes I have attended to this day.” She chose to write her final paper on the topic of diversity in physics and ended with the following: “I took this course to defy odds. I took it to be among the small group of minorities pursuing a degree in physics. I took it to be one of the few women who can proudly call themselves a physicist.” In our classes, we have the opportunity to help students develop and sustain their identities as physicists; as illustrated in the preceding quotes, the results can be profound.

Discussion and conclusions

We demonstrate that our classrooms can include EDI topics as an embedded part of the curriculum, so that all students have the opportunity to engage in a substantive way with EDI as part of the professional practices of physicists. Through these embedded, explicit discussions of EDI, we can positively impact the lives of our students, empower educators, and transform our field for the better. Whether having asynchronous, out of class opportunities (Carleton) or a dedicated in-class unit (CU), we provide students the opportunity to engage with issues of who does physics and what it means to do physics, focusing on people, reflection, and identity, as connected to traditional content of physics. In each approach we emphasize these are discussions occurring in the professional physics community, drawing on resources and data from APS, AIP, AAPT, and others. Physics instructors looking to implement these or other curricular materials should do so based on local contexts, opportunities, and constraints. Having discussions with colleagues (and students) can inform which approach makes the most sense in your environment. The Carleton model may be a particularly attractive approach for those who would prefer not to lead these types of sensitive discussions in the classroom, since it allows for offline responses to student reflections, opportunities for engaging with individual students outside of the classroom, and/or in-class discussion if desired. The CU model may be attractive in environments seeking to foster classroom-based discussions and proactively engaging students to respond to each others’ perspectives. Both approaches support the inclusion of EDI as part of physics.

For those considering broadening your classroom experiences and opportunities, we applaud you and offer the following recommendations. Start by building an inclusive classroom environment. Then try one of the approaches shared above or in the references below (e.g., reflection essays, readings, classroom discussions). While many of us are not trained in these approaches (or teaching more generally), we can seek opportunities to grow in our ability to facilitate this work. Notably, these class activities come only at a modest cost of traditional content coverage (CU) or time dedicated to practicing traditional content (Carleton), yet they have the potential to greatly expand opportunities for our students and our field. While the overall responses have been very positive, at both Carleton and CU we have used resistance and negative
feedback from students to iterate and improve the implementation of our materials. The specifics of implementation and modification will depend on your local context as well as on your positionality and strengths as an instructor.

As we work towards expanding our physics courses in these ways, the value of preparing the next generation of physics students to understand that attending to EDI is part of what it means to be a physicist is the tangible shift we will see in the physics community. Let us choose to embrace this challenging, yet important work of intentionally (re)defining who a physicist is and what a physicist does.
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